

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/685,095	10/10/2003	Bryce C. Waggoner	STD 1200 PA/41213.551 5499	
7:	590 11/21/2005		EXAM	INER
DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP			NORDMEYER, PATRICIA L	
One Dayton Ce	entre		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 500			L	TAFER NOMBER
One South Main Street Dayton, OH 45402-2023			1772	
			DATE MAILED: 11/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/685,095	WAGGONER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Patricia L. Nordmeyer	1772				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Secondary</u> 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the Expression in the practice under Expression in the practice unde	action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te				

Application/Control Number: 10/685,095 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Withdrawn Rejections

- 1. The 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejection of claims 1 8, 11 and 13 20 as anticipated by Attia et al. in the office action dated May 27, 2005 is withdrawn due to Applicant's arguments in the response dated September 28, 2005.
- 2. The 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection of claims 9 and 21 over Attia et al. in view of Charles et al. in the office action dated May 27, 2005 is withdrawn due to Applicant's arguments in the response dated September 28, 2005.
- 3. The 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection of claims 10, 12 and 22 over Attia et al. in view of Huddleston et al. in the office action dated May 27, 2005 is withdrawn due to Applicant's arguments in the response dated September 28, 2005.

New Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1772

5. Claims 1 - 8, 10 - 20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Attia et al. in view of Haas (USPN 5,785,354).

Page 3

Attia et al. disclose a patient wristband form (Column 3, lines 38 – 40; Figure 1, #10) comprising a transparent ply layer having an upper surface and a lower surface (Column 3, lines 46-47; Figure #13) made of film material (Column 3, lines 46-47), a release ply having an upper surface and lower surface (Column 4, lines 16 - 17; Figure 3, #23) wherein said release ply having a release coating on said upper surface of said release ply (Column 4, lines 19 - 20; Figure 3, #28) and wherein said transparent ply is removably mounted on said upper surface of said release ply by said pressure sensitive coating (Column 4, line 59; Figure 3, #18), a die cut in said transparent ply defining an elongated wristband (Column 3, lines 55 - 57) and a die cut in said transparent ply defining an overlaminate label (Column 3, lines 61 - 64), said label being sized to cover at least a part of said central portion of said elongated wristband so as to cover indicia printed on said opaque coating (Column 5, lines 46-50) as in claims 1, 11 and 13. With regard to claims 2 and 14, the release ply is substantially larger than said transparent ply and further comprises a paper ply having an upper surface and a lower surface wherein said lower surface of said paper ply has a pressure sensitive adhesive coating which is used to mount the paper ply on the release ply (Column 4, lines 16-26). The form contains one or more labels defined by die cuts in the paper ply (Figure 10), the transparent ply is die cut to define one or more additional labels (Figure 10) and a plurality of colored labels that may be affixed to said elongated wristband (Figure 3, #16; Column 3, lines 46 – 47) as in claims 3, 4, 6, 15, 16 and 18. As in claims 5 and 17, the pressure sensitive adhesive coating on said lower surface of said

Application/Control Number: 10/685,095

Art Unit: 1772

transparent ply is pattern coated such that are beneath said elongated wristband central portion is free of adhesive (Figure 4, #22). With regard to claims 7 and 19, the transparent ply and paper ply are directly adjacent each other to provide a patient wristband of substantially uniform thickness (Column 8, lines 1 - 15), whereby said form may advantageously be printed by means of a laser printer or an ink jet printer (Column 3, lines 48 - 51). The release layer defines a perforation line extending there across between said top ply and said paper ply (Column 5, lines 1 - 9) as in claims 8 and 20. However, Attia et al. fail to disclose an opaque coating on said upper surface of said transparent ply in a central portion of said elongated wristband, an opaque coating on said upper surface of said transparent ply in a central portion of said elongated wristband comprising a coating of a white, opaque ink and the transparent ply comprising a ply of substantially clear polyester film material.

Haas teaches an opaque coating on said upper surface of said transparent ply in a central portion of said elongated wristband made of a white adhesive material (Column 9, lines 10 - 12; Column 10, lines 1 - 5) and the transparent ply comprising a ply of substantially clear polyester film material (Column 9, lines 12 - 14; Column 10, lines 11 - 14) for the purpose of having a surface that absorbs the ink to form a display with regard to the status of the user (Column 8, lines 44 - 50).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to have provided an opaque coating on said upper surface of said transparent ply in a central portion of said elongated wristband comprising a coating of a white, opaque ink

Application/Control Number: 10/685,095

Art Unit: 1772

and the transparent ply comprising a ply of substantially clear polyester film material in Attia et al. in order to have a surface that absorbs the ink to form a display with regard to the status of the user as taught by Haas.

With regard to the opaque coating on said upper surface of said transparent ply in a central portion of said elongated wristband comprising a coating of a white, opaque ink in claims 10 and 22, the prior art element, the opaque white adhesive layer formed on the polyester film (Column 9, lines 10 – 12; Column 10, lines 1 - 5) is a structural equivalent of the corresponding element disclosed in the specification, the receiving area formed with a coating of a white opaque ink. That is, the prior art element performs the function, the ability of the wristband to have an area for printing of information, specified in substantially the same manner as the function is performed by the corresponding element, the white ink layer, described in the specification. MPEP 2183.

6. Claims 9 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Attia et al. in view of Haas as applied to claims 1 - 8, 10 - 20 and 22 above, and further in view of Charles et al.

Attia et al., as modified with Haas, disclose a patient wristband form comprising a transparent ply layer having an upper surface and a lower surface made of film material, a release ply having an upper surface and lower surface wherein said release ply having a release coating on said upper surface of said release ply and wherein said transparent ply is removably

Art Unit: 1772

mounted on said upper surface of said release ply by said pressure sensitive coating, a die cut in said transparent ply defining an elongated wristband, an opaque coating on said upper surface of said transparent ply in a central portion of said elongated wristband and a die cut in said transparent ply defining an overlaminate label, said label being sized to cover at least a part of said central portion of said elongated wristband so as to cover indicia printed on said opaque coating. However, the modified Attia et al. fail to disclose said transparent ply further defines one or more circular cut holes in said elongated wristband adjacent each end thereof, whereby said elongated wristband may be secured in place by a clasp, which engages one hole at each end of the wristband.

Charles et al. disclose a wristband (Column 1, lines 12 - 17) with a transparent ply (Column 9, lines 18 - 20) that further defines one or more circular cut holes (Figure 1a, #18 and 16) in said elongated wristband (Figure 1a, #4) adjacent each end thereof (Figure 1a, #6 and 8; Column 9, lines 23 - 26), whereby said elongated wristband may be secured in place by a clasp, which engages one hole at each end of the wristband (Column 9, lines 26 - 29; Figure 6a) for the purpose of having an identification that is easy to use, easy to apply while being tamper-resistant (Column 1, lines 6 - 11).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to have provided with a transparent ply that further defines one or more circular cut holes in said elongated wristband adjacent each end thereof, whereby said elongated wristband may be secured in place by a clasp, which engages one hole at each end of the

Art Unit: 1772

wristband in the modified Attia et al. in order to have an identification that is easy to use, easy to apply while being tamper-resistant as taught by Charles et al.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 - 22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. However, since prior art that was previously applied is being used in the above rejections, the arguments will be responded to below.

In response to Applicant's argument that the Attia et al. reference fails to disclose a transparent ply having a portion with an opaque coating, please see the newly presented rejection above.

In response to Applicant's argument that Attia et al. doesn't disclose an overlaminate label, it is admitted in the response on page 2 that "the '618 patent uses somewhat complicated form construction to accomplish overlamination". While the form used by Attia et al. is more complicated than the method being used by the Application, overlamination is still occurring in the patient wristband. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., die cutting from the top ply) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). There is no

Application/Control Number: 10/685,095

Art Unit: 1772

specification that the overlaminate label has to be from a top ply, just that it covers the indicia

printed on central portion.

In response to the Applicant's arguments with regard to the Huddleston et al. and Charles

et al. references, please see the newly presented rejections above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Patricia L. Nordmeyer whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1496. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. from 7:00-4:30 & alternate

Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Harold Y. Pyon can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patricia L. Nordmeyer

Examiner

Art Unit 1772

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER